

Subgeometries and linear sets on a projective line

Michel Lavrauw* and Corrado Zanella†

March 25, 2014

Abstract

We define the splash of a subgeometry on a projective line, extending the definition of [1] to general dimension and prove that a splash is always a linear set. We also prove the converse: each linear set on a projective line is the splash of some subgeometry. Therefore an alternative description of linear sets on a projective line is obtained. We introduce the notion of a club of rank r , generalizing the definition from [4], and show that clubs correspond to tangent splashes. We determine the condition for a splash to be a scattered linear set and give a characterization of clubs, or equivalently of tangent splashes. We also investigate the equivalence problem for tangent splashes and determine a necessary and sufficient condition for two tangent splashes to be (projectively) equivalent.

A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION: 51E20

KEY WORDS: linear set - tangent splash - subgeometry - finite projective line

1 Introduction and motivation

Given a subgeometry π_0 and a line l_∞ in a projective space π , by extending the hyperplanes of π_0 to hyperplanes of π and intersecting these with the line l_∞ , one obtains a set of points on the projective line l_∞ . Precisely, if we denote the set of hyperplanes of a projective space π by $\mathcal{H}(\pi)$, and $\overline{U}$ denotes the extension of a subspace U of the subgeometry π_0 to a subspace of π , then we obtain the set of points $\{l_\infty \cap \overline{H} : H \in \mathcal{H}(\pi_0)\}$. These sets have been studied in [1] and [2] for Desarguesian planes and cubic extensions, i.e. for a subplane $\pi_0 \cong \text{PG}(2, q)$ in $\pi \cong \text{PG}(2, q^3)$, where such a set is called the *splash* of π_0 on l_∞ . If l_∞ is tangent (respectively external) to π_0 , then a splash is called the *tangent splash* (respectively *external splash*) of π_0 on l_∞ . Note that when l_∞ is secant to π_0 , the splash of π_0 on l_∞ is just a subline. We study the splash of a subgeometry $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$ in $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ on a line l_∞ .

The article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we collect the necessary definitions and notation in order to make the paper self contained and accessible. In Section 3 we show the equivalence between splashes and linear sets on a projective line (Theorem 3.1) and prove that the weight of

*Dipartimento di Tecnica e Gestione dei Sistemi Industriali, Università di Padova, Stradella S. Nicola 3, 36100 Vicenza, Italy, e-mail: michel.lavrauw@unipd.it. The research of this author is supported by the Research Foundation Flanders-Belgium (FWO-Vlaanderen) and by a Progetto di Ateneo from Università di Padova (CPDA113797/11).

†Dipartimento di Tecnica e Gestione dei Sistemi Industriali, Università di Padova, Stradella S. Nicola 3, 36100 Vicenza, Italy, e-mail: corrado.zanella@unipd.it. The research of this author is supported by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (PRIN 2012 project “Strutture geometriche, combinatoria e loro applicazioni”).

a point of the linear set is determined by the number of hyperplanes through that point, leading to a characterisation of scattered linear sets. In Section 4 we obtain a geometric characterisation of so-called clubs or equivalently of tangent splashes, and count the number of distinct tangent splashes in $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$. We conclude with Section 5, where we study the projective equivalence of tangent splashes.

This work is motivated by the link between splashes and linear sets on a projective line; two geometric objects which have been studied before, and are of interest in their own right. In case of splashes this study was only performed for order q subplanes and cubic extensions ([1], [2]). This paper extends the definition of a splash to subgeometries of order q in higher dimensional projective spaces, leading to a new interpretation of linear sets on a projective line. Linear sets and field reduction have played an important role in the construction and characterization of many objects in finite geometry in recent years. See [10] and [6] for surveys and further references.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect the definitions and notation that will be used throughout the article. The finite field of order q will be denoted by $\mathbb{F}_q$. The projective space associated with a vector space U will be denoted by $\text{PG}(U)$. The $(r-1)$ -dimensional projective space over the field $\mathbb{F}$ will be denoted by $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ or $\text{PG}(r-1, \mathbb{F})$, or also $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$ in case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$. The sets of points, lines and hyperplanes of a projective space π will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\pi)$, $\mathcal{L}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\pi)$, respectively; but we will often write π instead of $\mathcal{P}(\pi)$ when the meaning is clear. A *subgeometry* of a projective space $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is the set of points whose homogeneous coordinates with respect to some fixed frame take values from a subfield $\mathbb{F}_0$ of $\mathbb{F}$, together with the subspaces generated by these points. A subgeometry π_0 of $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}^r)$ is then isomorphic to $\text{PG}(\mathbb{F}_0^r)$. If $\mathbb{F}_0$ has order q , then π_0 is called a *subgeometry of order q* , or a *q -subgeometry*. A k -dimensional projective subspace U of a subgeometry $\pi_0 \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q)$ of $\pi \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ generates a k -dimensional subspace of $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ ($-1 \leq k < r$) (called the *extension* of U). If there is no ambiguity we will denote both subspaces by U , otherwise we might use U for the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace and $\bar{U}$ for the $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ -subspace. For $k=1$ or $k=2$ a k -dimensional subspace of π_0 as above is also called a *q -subline* or a *q -subplane* of π .

Let π_0 be a q -subgeometry in $\pi \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$, $r \geq 2$, $n > 1$, and consider a line l_∞ of π not contained in the extension of a hyperplane of π_0 . We define the *splash* of π_0 on l_∞ as the set of points of l_∞ which are contained in a subspace spanned by points of π_0 . We denote this set by $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$. If the line l_∞ is external to π_0 , then $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$ is called an *external splash*, and if l_∞ is tangent to π_0 , then $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$ is called a *tangent splash*. The *centre* of a tangent splash $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$ is the intersection point $\pi_0 \cap l_\infty$.

We will use the same notation $\mathcal{F}_{r,n,q}$ as in [6] for the *field reduction map* from $\pi = \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ to $\text{PG}(rn-1, q)$. The image of $\mathcal{P}(\pi)$ under $\mathcal{F}_{r,n,q}$ is a *Desarguesian spread* $\mathcal{D}_{r,n,q}$ of $\text{PG}(rn-1, q)$. We note that the elements of $\mathcal{D}_{r,n,q}$ are $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspaces of π and they form a partition of $\text{PG}(rn-1, q)$. The field reduction map induces a bijection between the set of points of $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ and the set of elements of $\mathcal{D}_{r,n,q}$. If T is a subset of $\text{PG}(rn-1, q)$, then the set of elements of $\mathcal{D}_{r,n,q}$ which have non-empty intersection with T will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(T)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(T) := \{R \in \mathcal{D}_{r,n,q} : R \cap T \neq \emptyset\}. \quad (1)$$

The inverse image of the set $\mathcal{B}(T)$ under the field reduction map $\mathcal{F}_{r,n,q}$, is a set of points of

$\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$, which by abuse of notation will also be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(T)$. An $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ is a set of points L for which there exists a subspace U of $\text{PG}(rn-1, q)$ such that $L = \mathcal{B}(U)$. Given a linear set $L = \mathcal{B}(U)$, we say that the *rank* of L is $\dim(U) + 1$ and the *weight* of a point $x \in L$ is defined as $\dim(\mathcal{F}_{r,n,q}(x) \cap U) + 1$. For more on field reduction we refer to [6].

A linear set L is called *scattered* if every point of L has weight one. Scattered linear sets are equivalent to scattered subspaces with respect to a Desarguesian spread and they were introduced in [3]. Scattered linear sets were further studied in [8] and [7]. We call a linear set L a *club* if L has rank $r \geq 3$, and a point of L has weight $r-1$; consequently, all other points of L have weight one. This generalizes the definition of a club as introduced in [4] from $r=3$ to $r \geq 3$. Clubs and scattered linear sets on the projective line have been studied in [5].

3 Equivalence of linear sets and splashes

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $r, n > 1$. If $S = S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$ is the splash of the q -subgeometry π_0 of $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ on the line l_∞ , then S is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank r . Conversely, if S is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank r on the line $l_\infty \cong \text{PG}(1, q^n)$, then there exists an embedding of l_∞ in $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ and a q -subgeometry π_0 of $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ such that $S = S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$.*

Proof. **A.** First we prove that each splash is a linear set.

Let S denote a splash on the line l_∞ , defined by the order q subgeometry π_0 of $\pi \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$, i.e.

$$S = \{l_\infty \cap h : h \in \mathcal{H}(\pi_0)\}. \quad (2)$$

Then in the dual space we obtain the set of hyperplanes

$$S^d = \{\langle l_\infty^d, h^d \rangle : h \in \mathcal{H}(\pi_0)\} = \{\langle l_\infty^d, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{P}(\pi_0^d)\}. \quad (3)$$

Now consider the projection Ψ of $\pi^d \setminus l_\infty^d$ onto the quotient space $\pi^d / l_\infty^d \cong \text{PG}(1, q^n)$. Then $S^d = \Psi(\mathcal{P}(\pi_0^d))$ is equivalent to the projection of the subgeometry π_0^d onto a line disjoint from l_∞^d , and hence a linear set by [9, Theorem 2] (by considering dual coordinates in $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$). Points of l_∞ correspond to hyperplanes through l_∞^d and the following map defines a collineation:

$$\omega : l_\infty \rightarrow \pi^d / l_\infty^d : x \mapsto \Psi(x^d). \quad (4)$$

We obtain $\omega(S) = S^d$, and may conclude that S is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank r .

B. Next we prove that each linear set of rank r on $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$ is a splash.

Let S be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank r on the line $l_\infty \cong \text{PG}(1, q^n)$. Embed l_∞ as a line in $\pi = \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$. If S is a subline, i.e. $r=2$, then S is the splash of itself, $S = S(S, l_\infty)$, and we are done.

If S is not a q -subline, then consider an arbitrary $(r-3)$ -dimensional subspace z disjoint from l_∞ in π . The set $L = \{\langle z, x \rangle : x \in S\}$ defines an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set L^d of rank r in the dual space π^d , contained in the line $z^d \cong \text{PG}(1, q^n)$. Hence there exists a subgeometry $\pi_0 \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q)$, such that L^d is the projection of π_0^d from an $(r-3)$ -dimensional subspace l^d sharing no dual point with π_0^d (implying that no hyperplane of π_0 contains l), i.e. $L^d = \{z^d \cap \langle x, l^d \rangle : x \in \mathcal{P}(\pi_0^d)\}$.

Equivalently we have $L = \{\langle z, H \cap l \rangle : H \in \mathcal{H}(\pi_0)\}$. This implies that S is the projection from z onto the line l_∞ of the splash $\{H \cap l : H \in \mathcal{H}(\pi_0)\}$ of π_0 on the line l , and hence S is a splash. $\square$

In order to avoid the case of a q -subline, from now on r will be an integer greater than two, unless otherwise stated (cf. prop. 4.3).

THEOREM 3.2. *Let S be the splash of a subgeometry $\pi_0 \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q)$ of $\pi \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ on $l_\infty \cong \text{PG}(1, q^n)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The point $x \in S$ has weight j .*
- (ii) *There are $(q^j - 1)/(q - 1)$ hyperplanes of π_0 through $x \in S$.*

Proof. Put $\theta_j := (q^j - 1)/(q - 1)$. Theorem 3.2 is the dualization of the fact that in the representation of a linear set as a projection of a q -subgeometry π_0 [9, Theorem 2], a point x has weight j if, and only if, x is projection of precisely θ_j points of π_0 . Suppose $x \in S$ has weight j . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, this implies that there are θ_j points of π_0^d which project onto x^d from l_∞^d , and hence x is contained in θ_j hyperplanes of π_0 . Conversely, consider the set $\mathcal{H}(x)$ of hyperplanes of π_0 on a point $x \in S$, and suppose $\mathcal{H}(x) = \{h_1, \dots, h_{\theta_j}\}$. This means that $x^d = \langle h_i^d, l_\infty^d \rangle$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \theta_j\}$, and hence $\omega(x)$ (from (4)) is the projection of θ_j points of π_0^d . This implies that x has weight j . $\square$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let S be the splash of a subgeometry $\pi_0 \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q)$ of $\pi \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ on $l_\infty \cong \text{PG}(1, q^n)$. Then S is a scattered linear set if and only if S is an external splash, where every point of S is on exactly one hyperplane of π_0 .*

Proof. If S is scattered then each point has weight 1. The rest of the proof is immediate from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. $\square$

4 Characterization of clubs

If P_1, P_2 and P_3 are distinct collinear points in some projective space $\text{PG}(m, q^n)$, then the unique q -subline containing them is denoted by $\text{subl}_q(P_1, P_2, P_3)$. The aim of this section is to prove the following characterization.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let T be a point and $\mathcal{A}$ a q^{r-1} -set, $3 \leq r \leq n$, in $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$ such that $T \notin \mathcal{A}$. Consider the following three statements.*

- (i) *$T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -club, and T has weight $r - 1$;*
- (ii) *$T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is a tangent splash with centre T ;*
- (iii) *for any pair of distinct points $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$, the subline $\text{subl}_q(T, P, Q)$ is contained in $T \cup \mathcal{A}$.*

Then the statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent, and if $q > 2$ all three statements are equivalent.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) The equivalence of the first two statements easily follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. Namely, if $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -club, and T has weight $r - 1$, then $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is a splash of a subgeometry $\pi_0 \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q)$ of $\pi \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ on $l_\infty \cong \text{PG}(1, q^n)$. By Theorem 3.2 there are $(q^{r-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ hyperplanes of π_0 throughout T . This implies $T \in \mathcal{P}(\pi_0)$. Since all other points have weight one, they lie on exactly one hyperplane of π_0 and we may conclude that π_0 is tangent to l_∞ . This proves the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Similarly (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Consider the field reduction map $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_{2,n,q}$. Suppose $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -club, and T has weight $r - 1$. This implies that there exists an $(r - 1)$ -dimensional subspace U in $\text{PG}(2n - 1, q)$ such that $\mathcal{B}(U) = T \cup \mathcal{A}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{F}(T) \cap U) = r - 2$. Now consider a subline $\text{subl}_q(T, P, Q)$ for two distinct points $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$. This subline corresponds to the regulus determined by $\mathcal{F}(T)$, $\mathcal{F}(P)$ and $\mathcal{F}(Q)$ in $\text{PG}(2n - 1, q)$. Since $\dim(\mathcal{F}(T) \cap U) = r - 2$, the line $m := \langle \mathcal{F}(P) \cap U, \mathcal{F}(Q) \cap U \rangle$ meets T and hence is a transversal to the regulus corresponding to $\text{subl}_q(T, P, Q)$. As $m \subset U$ it follows that $\text{subl}_q(T, P, Q) = \mathcal{B}(m) \in \mathcal{B}(U) = T \cup \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Now assume (iii) holds and $q > 2$. Choose a point $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and a point x in $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Since for each $Y \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{X\}$, the q -subline $\text{subl}_q(T, X, Y)$ is contained in $T \cup \mathcal{A}$, there exists a unique line l_Y through x in $\text{PG}(2n - 1, q)$ such that $\text{subl}_q(T, X, Y) = \mathcal{B}(l_Y)$. Let U denote the union of the points on the $(q^{r-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ lines defined in this way, i.e.

$$U := \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{X\}} \mathcal{P}(l_Y).$$

Then $|U| = (q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$ and $(q^{r-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ points of U are contained in $\mathcal{F}(T)$. Moreover $T \cup \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(U)$. Put $W := \langle x, \mathcal{B}(T) \rangle$. Then W has dimension n and $U \subset W$.

Each $\mathcal{F}(C)$, with $C \in \mathcal{A}$ intersects W in exactly one point, and since U contains a point of $\mathcal{F}(C)$, and $U \subset W$, it must hold $\mathcal{F}(C) \cap W \in U$. Hence

$$\{\mathcal{F}(C) \cap W : C \in \mathcal{A}\} = U \setminus \mathcal{F}(T). \quad (5)$$

Since $r > 2$, there is a line m , $x \notin m$, spanned by two points x_1, x_2 of $U \setminus \mathcal{F}(T)$. Then by hypothesis $\text{subl}_q(\mathcal{B}(x_1), \mathcal{B}(x_2), T)$ is contained in $T \cup \mathcal{A}$. Hence the points of m not in $\mathcal{F}(T)$ are contained in U , since by (5), $m \setminus \mathcal{F}(T) = \mathcal{F}(\text{subl}_q(\mathcal{B}(x_1), \mathcal{B}(x_2), T) \setminus T) \cap W$.

But then the lines $l_{\mathcal{B}(y)} = \langle x, y \rangle$ with $y \in m \setminus (m \cap \mathcal{F}(T))$ must be contained in U , implying that U contains the affine plane $\langle x, m \rangle \setminus \langle x, m \cap \mathcal{F}(T) \rangle$. Since $q > 2$, we may repeat the arguments for another choice of m and conclude that U contains the plane $\langle x, m \rangle$, and this plane meets $\mathcal{F}(T)$ in a line. In particular we have shown that U contains every line spanned by two points x_1, x_2 of $U \setminus \mathcal{F}(T)$. Since $|U| = (q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$ and $(q^{r-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ points of U are contained in $\mathcal{F}(T)$, it follows that U is an $(r - 1)$ -dimensional subspace in $\text{PG}(2n - 1, q)$ such that $\mathcal{B}(U) = T \cup \mathcal{A}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{F}(T) \cap U) = r - 2$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{A} \cup T$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank r , and T is a point of weight $r - 1$. This proves the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). $\square$

REMARK 4.2. If $q = 2$, statement (iii) is always satisfied, and not every set of $2^{r-1} + 1$ points is a linear set.

The linearity gives the advantage of having further almost straightforward consequences concerning uniqueness and number of tangent splashes. This allows to generalize the results in [2, Sect. 5]. A tangent splash of a q -subgeometry of $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^n)$ is said to have *rank* r because it is indeed an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank r . For the purpose of the following proposition only, a q -subline is called a *tangent splash of rank 2* and any point on it is a centre.

PROPOSITION 4.3. If $T, U_1, \dots, U_r$ are distinct points in $l_\infty = \text{PG}(1, q^n)$, $3 \leq r \leq n$, and no U_j , $j \geq 3$, is contained in an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -tangent splash of rank less than j with centre T containing the points $U_1, \dots, U_{j-1}$, then there is a unique tangent splash $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$ of a q -subgeometry π_0 of $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^n)$, such that $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$ contains $U_1, \dots, U_r$ and has centre T .

Proof. Consider the field reduction map $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_{2n,q}$. Let u_1 be a point of $\mathcal{F}(U_1)$, and suppose $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is a tangent splash of rank r with centre T and containing the points $U_1, \dots, U_r$. Then $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is a linear set, say $\mathcal{B}(W)$, with W an $(r-1)$ -dimensional subspace of $\text{PG}(2n-1, q)$, which intersects $\mathcal{F}(T)$ in a subspace of dimension $r-2$. By [6, Lemma 4.3] we may assume $u_1 \in W$. Put $u_i := W \cap U_i$, for $i = 2, 3, \dots, r$. Since each line $\langle u_1, u_j \rangle$ is contained in W and meets $\mathcal{F}(T)$, it follows that W must contain the unique transversal through u_1 to the regulus determined by $\mathcal{F}(T), \mathcal{F}(U_1), \mathcal{F}(U_j)$, $j \neq 1$. By the assumption that no U_j , $j \geq 3$, is contained in a tangent splash of rank $j-1$ with centre T containing the points $U_1, \dots, U_{j-1}$, it follows that the subspace $\langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r \rangle$ has dimension $r-1$, and hence must equal W . By Theorem 4.1 this implies both existence and uniqueness. $\square$

In the case of an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subplane tangent splash, as a corollary of the Proposition 4.3, we have the following.

THEOREM 4.4. *If T, U, V , and W are distinct points in $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$, and $W \notin \text{subl}_q(T, U, V)$, then a unique tangent splash of a q -subplane exists which contains U, V, W and has centre T .*

By a double counting the following results can be deduced from prop. 4.3:

PROPOSITION 4.5. (i) *The number of distinct rank r tangent splashes of q -subgeometries on $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$ having a common centre T is*

$$q^{n+1-r} \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} \frac{q^{n-i} - 1}{q^{r-1-i} - 1}.$$

(ii) *The number of distinct rank r tangent splashes of q -subgeometries on $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$ is*

$$(q^n + 1)q^{n+1-r} \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} \frac{q^{n-i} - 1}{q^{r-1-i} - 1}.$$

5 Projective equivalence of tangent splashes

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ be a tangent splash of the q -subgeometry π_0 of $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ on the line $l_\infty = \text{PG}(U)$, with centre T . Let P be any point of $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exist $u, v \in U$ and $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{r-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, such that $1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{r-2}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\langle v \rangle_{q^n} = P$, and*

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \left\langle xu + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} y_i \rho_i u + v \right\rangle_{q^n} \mid x, y_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, 2, \dots, r-2 \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. By theorem 4.1, there is an r -dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of U , say V , such that $T \cup \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(V)$. From $\text{wt}(T) = r-1$ it follows that a $u \in U$ and $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{r-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, exist such that $1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{r-2}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$; $u, \rho_i u \in V$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-2$, and $T = \langle u \rangle_{q^n}$. Taking the vector $v \in V$ such that $\langle v \rangle_{q^n} = P$ yields

$$T \cup \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \left\langle x'u + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} y'_i \rho_i u + z'v \right\rangle_{q^n} \mid x', y'_i, z' \in \mathbb{F}_q, i = 1, 2, \dots, r-2 \right\},$$

and this implies (6). $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let π_0 be a q -subgeometry of $\text{PG}(W) \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ and let $l_\infty = \text{PG}(U)$ be a line of $\text{PG}(W)$ tangent to π_0 . Assume that $S(\pi_0, l_\infty) = T \cup \mathcal{A}$ as in prop. 5.1. Let H_0 be the hyperplane of π_0 such that $P \in \overline{H_0}$. Then an ordered $(r-1)$ -tuple¹ $s = (s_0 \ s_1 \ \dots \ s_{r-2})^T \in W^{r-1}$ exists such that (i) $H_0 = \mathcal{B}(\langle s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-2} \rangle_q)$, (ii) $v = s_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \rho_i s_i$, and (iii) $\pi_0 = \mathcal{B}(\langle u, s_0, \dots, s_{r-2} \rangle_q)$. If $\gcd(n, r-1) = 1$, then there is a unique $s \in W^{r-1}$ satisfying (i) and (ii).

Proof. Existence. Since $T = \langle u \rangle_{q^n}$, an r -dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace V_0 of W exists such that $\mathcal{B}(V_0) = \pi_0$ and $u \in V_0$. With $r-1$ independent points of H_0 , vectors $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{r-2} \in V_0$ are associated, hence $\langle u, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{r-2} \rangle_q = V_0$, and $v, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{r-2}$ are linearly dependent on $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. As a consequence $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ exist such that $v = \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \xi_j z_j$. For any j let l_j be the line joining T and $\langle z_j \rangle_{q^n}$. Since any point in $\mathcal{A}$ lies on a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$ joining $r-1$ points P_j , with $P_j \in \pi_0 \cap l_j \setminus \{T\}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-2$, for any $x, y_1, \dots, y_{r-2} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ there exist $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-2$, such that the vectors $xu + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} y_i \rho_i u + v, \alpha_0 u + z_0, \dots, \alpha_{r-2} u + z_{r-2}$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Since $u, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{r-2}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} y_i \rho_i & \xi_0 & \xi_1 & \dots & \xi_{r-2} \\ \alpha_0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \alpha_{r-2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

whence $\langle 1, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{r-2} \rangle_q = \langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r-2} \rangle_q$, and an $A \in \text{GL}(r-1, q)$ exists such that

$$(\xi_0 \ \xi_1 \ \dots \ \xi_{r-2}) = (1 \ \rho_1 \ \dots \ \rho_{r-2})A.$$

By defining $s = (s_0 \ s_1 \ \dots \ s_{r-2})^T = A(z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots \ z_{r-2})^T$, (i) and (iii) are straightforward. Furthermore,

$$v = (\xi_0 \ \xi_1 \ \dots \ \xi_{r-2})(z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots \ z_{r-2})^T = (1 \ \rho_1 \ \dots \ \rho_{r-2})s$$

and this is (ii).

Uniqueness. Let $\rho = (1 \ \rho_1 \ \dots \ \rho_{r-2})^T$. Assume that

$$s = (s_0 \ s_1 \ \dots \ s_{r-2})^T, \ s' = (s'_0 \ s'_1 \ \dots \ s'_{r-2})^T \in W^{r-1}$$

satisfy $H_0 = \mathcal{B}(\langle s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-2} \rangle_q) = \mathcal{B}(\langle s'_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_{r-2} \rangle_q)$, and $v = \rho^T s = \rho'^T s'$. If V and V' are subspaces of W such that $\mathcal{B}(V) = \mathcal{B}(V')$ is a subgeometry K_0 of $\text{PG}(W)$, then the related projective subspaces in $\text{PG}(rn-1, q)$ are subspaces of the same family of maximal subspaces of the Segre variety $\mathcal{F}(K_0)$, and a $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ exists such that $\zeta V' = V$. Therefore, the assumptions imply that a $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ and an $M \in \text{GL}(r-1, q)$ exist such that

$$\zeta s' = Ms. \tag{7}$$

From $\zeta \rho'^T s' = \zeta \rho^T s$ and (7) one obtains $\zeta \rho'^T s = \rho^T Ms$. Since $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-2}$ are linearly independent vectors on $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, the last equation implies $M^T \rho = \zeta \rho$. As a consequence, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, ρ^{q^j} is an eigenvector of M^T related to the eigenvalue ζ^{q^j} . The dimension d_j of the related eigenspace $\{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{r-1} : M^T w = \zeta^{q^j} w\}$ does not depend on j , so let $d = d_j$. It holds in general that if $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then the $r-1$ vectors

¹Here $(r-1)$ -tuples are considered as column vectors.

$(x_0^{q^j} \ x_1^{q^j} \ \dots \ x_{r-2}^{q^j})^T$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-2$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and vice-versa. So, $\rho, \rho^q, \dots, \rho^{q^{r-2}}$ are linearly independent eigenvectors of M^T , and M^T is similar to the matrix $M' = \text{diag}(\zeta, \zeta^q, \dots, \zeta^{q^{r-2}})$. Let $e = [\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta) : \mathbb{F}_q]$, then the diagonal of M' contains e distinct elements, each repeated d times, hence $r-1 = de$; but e divides n , and the assumption $\gcd(n, r-1) = 1$ implies $e = 1$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. As a consequence of this result, $M = \zeta I_{r-1}$, and finally (7) gives $s' = s$. $\square$

A first consequence of prop. 5.2 is a uniqueness theorem for q -subgeometries giving rise to a tangent splash, partly generalizing [2, Theorem 5.1]:

THEOREM 5.3. *Let π_0, π_1 be q -subgeometries of $\text{PG}(W) \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$, and let $l_\infty = \text{PG}(U)$ be a line of $\text{PG}(W)$ tangent to both π_0 and π_1 . Assume $\gcd(n, r-1) = 1$. If $S(\pi_0, l_\infty) = S(\pi_1, l_\infty)$ and a q -subgeometry H_0 of a hyperplane in $\text{PG}(W)$ exists such that $H_0 \subset \pi_0 \cap \pi_1$, and $T \notin \overline{H_0}$, then $\pi_0 = \pi_1$.*

Proof. Assume that the point P in prop. 5.1 belongs to H_0 . By prop. 5.2 conditions (i) and (ii) imply (iii), whence $\pi_0 = \mathcal{B}(\langle u, s_0, \dots, s_{r-2} \rangle_q) = \pi_1$. $\square$

The generalization above cannot be extended to any n ; on the contrary, the uniqueness part of the proof of prop. 5.2 suggests how to construct distinct q -subgeometries having a common hyperplane and same tangent splash. In particular, for even n it is not true that two q -subplanes of $\text{PG}(2, q^n)$ having the same tangent splash and sharing a q -subline not through T must coincide.

THEOREM 5.4. *Assume $d = \gcd(n, r-1) > 1$. Then two distinct q -subgeometries of $\text{PG}(W) \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$, say π_0 and π_1 , a line l_∞ of $\text{PG}(W)$ tangent to both π_0 and π_1 at a point T , and a common hyperplane H_0 to π_0 and π_1 with $T \notin \overline{H}$ exist such that $S(\pi_0, l_\infty) = S(\pi_1, l_\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that $[\mathbb{F}_q(\zeta) : \mathbb{F}_q] = d$, and let $M_0 \in \text{GL}(d, q)$ be the companion matrix having as characteristic polynomial the minimal polynomial of ζ . Let $w = (w_1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_d)^T \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^d$ be an eigenvector of M_0 related to ζ . Then $w, w^q, \dots, w^{q^{d-1}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and this implies that $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Therefore $w_1 = 1$ may be assumed. Since w^{q^d} is an eigenvector of the one-dimensional eigenspace related to ζ , it follows $w^{q^d} = w$, and $\langle 1 = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d \rangle_q = \mathbb{F}_{q^d} = \mathbb{F}_q(\zeta)$. Next, let $1 = \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n/d}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ -basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. Then $\{\omega_i w_j \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n/d, j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and

$$\rho = (1 \ w_2 \ \dots \ w_d \ w_2 \ w_2 w_2 \ \dots \ w_2 w_d \ \dots \ \omega_{(r-1)/d} w_d)^T \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{r-1}$$

is an eigenvector of

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_0 & & & \\ & M_0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & M_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -club of rank r and head T in l_∞ , satisfying (6) with $\rho_1 = w_2, \rho_2 = w_3, \dots, \rho_{r-2} = \omega_{(r-1)/d} w_d$. By theorem 4.1, $T \cup \mathcal{A}$ is a tangent splash $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)$ for some q -subgeometry π_0 of a projective space $\pi \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$. Take $s \in W^{r-1}$ as in prop. 5.2, and define $\zeta s' = M^T s$, implying $\zeta \langle s'_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_{r-2} \rangle_q = \langle s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{r-2} \rangle_q$. Furthermore, $\pi_1 := \mathcal{B}(\langle u, s'_0, \dots, s'_{r-2} \rangle_q) \neq \pi_0$

since $\zeta \notin \mathbb{F}_q$. Define $H_0 = \mathcal{B}(\langle s_0, \dots, s_{r-2} \rangle_q)$; clearly $H_0 \subset \pi_0 \cap \pi_1$ and $T \notin \overline{H_0}$. Let $\kappa \in \text{GL}(r, q^n)$ be defined by $\kappa(u) = u$, $\kappa(s_i) = s'_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-2$. The related projectivity $\hat{\kappa}$ maps π_0 onto π_1 . Furthermore

$$\kappa(v) = \rho^T s' = \zeta^{-1} \rho^T M^T s = \rho^T s = v,$$

so the restriction of $\hat{\kappa}$ to l_∞ is the identity, whence $S(\pi_0, l_\infty) = S(\pi_1, l_\infty)$. $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let π_0 and π_1 be two q -subgeometries of $\text{PG}(W) \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$, both tangent to a line l_∞ in $\text{PG}(W)$. If $S(\pi_0, l_\infty) = S(\pi_1, l_\infty)$, then a $\hat{\kappa} \in \text{PGL}(r, q^n)$ exists such that $l_\infty^{\hat{\kappa}} = l_\infty$, $\pi_0^{\hat{\kappa}} = \pi_1$.*

Proof. Assume $S(\pi_0, l_\infty) = S(\pi_1, l_\infty) = T \cup \mathcal{A}$ as described in prop. 5.1. By prop. 5.2, $s, s' \in W^{r-1}$ exist such that $v = \rho^T s = \rho^T s'$, where $\rho = (1 \ \rho_1 \ \dots \ \rho_{r-2})$, and $\pi_0 = \mathcal{B}(\langle u, s_0, \dots, s_{r-2} \rangle_q)$, $\pi_1 = \mathcal{B}(\langle u, s'_0, \dots, s'_{r-2} \rangle_q)$. Take $\kappa \in \text{GL}(r, q^n)$ defined by $u^\kappa = u$, $s_i^\kappa = s'_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-2$. By construction the associated projectivity $\hat{\kappa}$ satisfies $\pi_0^{\hat{\kappa}} = \pi_1$, $P^{\hat{\kappa}} = P$, $T^{\hat{\kappa}} = T$, hence $l_\infty^{\hat{\kappa}} = l_\infty$. $\square$

By the next result, there is a bijection between orbits, with respect to $\text{PGL}(2, q^n)$, of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -clubs in $\text{PG}(1, q^n)$ and orbits, with respect to $\text{AGL}(r-1, q^n)$, of q -subgeometries in $\text{AG}(r-1, q^n)$ tangent to the line at infinity.

THEOREM 5.6. *Let π_0 and π_1 be two q -subgeometries of $\text{PG}(W) \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^n)$, both tangent to a line l_∞ in $\text{PG}(W)$. If a collineation $\theta \in \text{PTL}(2, q^n)$ exists such that $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)^\theta = S(\pi_1, l_\infty)$, then a $\tau \in \text{PTL}(r, q^n)$ exists such that $l_\infty^\tau = l_\infty$ and $\pi_0^\tau = \pi_1$. Conversely, if $\tau \in \text{PTL}(r, q^n)$ exists such that $l_\infty^\tau = l_\infty$ and $\pi_0^\tau = \pi_1$ then $S(\pi_0, l_\infty)^\tau = S(\pi_1, l_\infty)$. The assertions above still hold by substituting every $\text{PTL}(2, q^n)$ and $\text{PTL}(r, q^n)$ with $\text{PGL}(2, q^n)$ and $\text{PGL}(r, q^n)$, respectively.*

Proof. The collineation θ can be extended to $\bar{\theta} \in \text{PTL}(r, q^n)$. From $S(\pi_0^{\bar{\theta}}, l_\infty) = S(\pi_1, l_\infty)$, by prop. 5.5, a $\hat{\kappa} \in \text{PGL}(r, q^n)$ exists such that $l_\infty^{\hat{\kappa}} = l_\infty$, $\pi_0^{\bar{\theta}\hat{\kappa}} = \pi_1$. Just take $\tau = \bar{\theta}\hat{\kappa}$ in order to obtain the first assertion. The remainder of the theorem is straightforward. $\square$

REMARK 5.7. *Since for $n > 3$ there exist projectively nonequivalent clubs [5], from theorem 5.6 it follows that there exist at least two non-equivalent, with respect to $\text{AGL}(2, q^n)$, projective q -subplanes of $\text{AG}(2, q^n)$ that are tangent to the line at infinity.*

References

- [1] S.G. BARWICK - WAN-AI JACKSON: The tangent splash in $\text{PG}(6, q)$. arXiv:1305.6674
- [2] S.G. BARWICK - WAN-AI JACKSON: An investigation of the tangent splash of a subplane of $\text{PG}(2, q^3)$. arXiv:1303.5509
- [3] A. BLOKHUIS - M. LAVRAUW: Scattered spaces with respect to a spread in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. *Geom. Dedicata* **81** (2000), 231–243
- [4] SZ. L. FANCSALI - P. SZIKLAI: About maximal partial 2-spreads in $\text{PG}(3m-1, q)$. *Innov. Incidence Geom.* **4** (2006), 89–102.
- [5] M. LAVRAUW - G. VAN DE VOORDE: On linear sets on a projective line. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **56** (2010), 89–104.

- [6] M. LAVRAUW - G. VAN DE VOORDE: Field reduction and linear sets in finite geometry. arXiv:1310.8522v1
- [7] M. LAVRAUW - G. VAN DE VOORDE: Scattered linear sets and pseudoreguli. Electronic J. Combin. **20** (2013).
- [8] G. LUNARDON - G. MARINO - O. POLVERINO - R. TROMBETTI: Maximum scattered linear sets of pseudoregulus type and the Segre Variety $\mathcal{S}_{n,n}$. To appear in J. Algebraic Combin.
- [9] G. LUNARDON - O. POLVERINO: Translation ovoids of orthogonal polar spaces. Forum Math. **16** (2004), 663–669.
- [10] O. POLVERINO: Linear sets in finite projective spaces. Discrete Math. **310** (2010), 3096–3107.